

January 1976

Errata, Volume 6

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/ggulrev>

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

, *Errata, Volume 6*, 6 Golden Gate U. L. Rev. (1976).
<http://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/ggulrev/vol6/iss3/5>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Journals at GGU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Golden Gate University Law Review by an authorized administrator of GGU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jfischer@ggu.edu.

ERRATA VOLUME SIX

Page 93, footnote 69. For “Caenley v. Cochran” read “Carnley v. Cochran.”

Page 126, sixth line. For “indentify” read “identify.”

Page 149, fifth line. For “precedures” read “procedures.”

Page 215, twentieth line. For “fect” read “effect.”

Page 243, footnote 50. For “104 Ariz. 51S” read “104 Ariz. 518.”

Page 421, twenty-eighth line. For “section III above” read “section II above.”

Page 511, footnote 12. For “*Almeida-Sanchez Note, supra* note, at 224” read “*Almeida-Sanchez Note, supra* note 5, at 224.”

Page 575, eleventh line. For “becuase” read “because.”

Page 768, seventeenth line. For “becuase” read “because.”

